



IFW

Docket No.: 3782-0126P
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Petter ERICSON

Application No.: 09/812,906

Confirmation No.: 1151

Filed: March 21, 2001

Art Unit: 2176

For: DATA FORM HAVING A POSITION-
CODING PATTERN DETECTABLE BY AN
OPTICAL SENSOR

Examiner: M. K. Botts

**STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW IN
ACCORDANCE WITH MPEP §713.04**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Pursuant to the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.133(b), Applicant hereby submits the following summary of the personal interview conducted on Tuesday, June 13, 2006.

At the request of the undersigned, a personal interview was conducted on June 13, 2006, so that the undersigned could explain the deficiencies of the outstanding rejection and better understand the Examiner's position. Present at the interview were Examiner Michael Botts and Primary Examiner William Douglas Hutton. At the beginning of the interview, Applicant demonstrated the basic Anoto electronic pen input device system using a now commercially available Anoto pen and tablet. The undersigned went on to explain the deficiencies of the outstanding rejection, which are described accurately in the Supplement to Request for Interview provided to the Examiner on June 9, 2006.

While the undersigned requested that the Examiner better explain the outstanding rejection, the Examiner and Mr. Hutton declined to elaborate on the written

rejection of record. Since the Examiner and Mr. Hutton did not dispute any of the statements made by the undersigned concerning the teachings of the references and their interpretation, it is presumed that they were in agreement with these factual statements about the references. However, there were no comments issued by either Examiner concerning the teachings of the references or their applicability. The sole position taken by the Patent Office was that the Examiner would consider the arguments presented and would respond in writing.

This was the substance of the interview conducted on June 13, 2006.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: June 16, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Michael K. Mutter

Registration No.: 29,680

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant